Becoming An Ally For Youth: Tools for Achieving Equitable School Climate Improvement Driven By Youth-Voice

Empowering young people and their adult allies to act on their environments towards collaboration
WELCOME
What might this morning feel like?

Part 1: Introductions, warm up, grounding
Part 2: Language & paradigms
Part 3: Framing the conversation
Part 4: Continuum craze
Part 5: Assessing readiness
Part 6: Looking Ahead
Part 7: Close
What do you need in order to arrive to this conversation?

Curiosity over analysis

Bravery in discomfort

Assume best intentions; intentions do not always equal impact

Beginner’s mind; no one is born with critical consciousness

Confidentiality

Move in, move out

Call up and in, not out

Breathe before speaking

Expect and accept a lack of closure
“Why do you keep using that word?
I do not think it means what you think it means.

– Inigo Montoya, The Princess Bride
Working definitions:

**Youth-Adult Partnership**
- A partnership between older and younger leaders in identifying goals and accomplishing tasks that fulfills the mission and vision of an organization.

**Youth Development**
- A process or approach in which young people become competent or develop competencies necessary to be successful and meet challenges.

**Youth Leadership**
- The ability to envision a goal or needed change, to take initiative or action to achieve the goal, to take responsibility for outcomes, and to work well with, relate to, and communicate effectively with others.
What is School Climate & Youth Voice Anyway?

Chalk Talk: An activity that involves no chalk and no talk

Around the room, there are several prompts.

Pick a marker. Offer an example, question, idea, issue, or resource.

GO WILD.
Framing the Conversation
How might adult educators improve their alliance to young people’s voice & leadership in their work to improve school climate?

• Student voice strategies empower adults in school settings to partner with young people to act on their environments, becoming agents of school climate change.

• Student voice strategies do not replace, but rather compliment Multi-Tiered Systems of Supports (MTSS) and Social Emotional Learning (SEL) strategies.

• This session adapts Marshall Gantz’ theory of leadership that posits leadership and organizational change as accepting responsibility for enabling youth to achieve purpose under conditions of uncertainty with the shared goal of building positive school climates for wellness.
Partnership Strengthens Learning & School Climate!

"Studies have shown that youth participation in service-learning...

- Fewer behavioral challenges
- Increases grades and attendance
- Great acceptance of cultural differences
- Increases classroom participation
- Increases positive & respectful relationships with peers and teachers
Student Voice & School Climate

SCHOOL CLIMATE OUTCOMES

- Students more connected and engaged at school
  Barber, Eccles, & Stone, 2001; Conway, Amel, & Gerwien, 2009

- Improved relationships among students and between students and adults
  Fielding, 2001; Mitra, 2003; Soo Hoo, 1993

- School improvement strategies that reflect students’ ideas and priorities
  Shah & Medratta, 2008; Warren, Mira, & Nikundiwe, 2008
Youth Thrive Framework (2014)

(a) the strengths-based perspective,
(b) the biology of stress,
(c) resilience theory,
(d) the Positive Youth Development perspective,
(e) a focus on well-being, and
(f) the nature of risk, protective, and promotive factors.
Belief Systems of People Living under Toxic Stress

People will eventually leave me

I’m not safe

I’m stupid
Realms of Trauma Informed Care

- Self
- Services
- Structures
- Systems

Principles of Trauma Informed Care

- Consistency – Predictability
- Choice-Agency
- Attachment-Significant relationships
- Safety – Holding Space, listening & hearing
- Competency-opportunities to build worth (HOPE)
- Celebration of historical resilience (across race, gender, class, and sexuality lines)

Celebration of historical resilience (across race, gender, class, and sexuality lines)
Models of positive youth development
# Models of Positive Youth Development

## The 5Cs Model of Positive Youth Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Competence</th>
<th>Enhancing participants' social, academic, cognitive, and vocational competencies.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>Improving adolescents' self-esteem, self-concept, self-efficacy, identity, and belief in the future.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connections</td>
<td>Building and strengthening adolescents' relationships with other people and institutions, such as school.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Character</td>
<td>Increasing self-control, decreasing engagement in health-compromising (problem) behaviors, developing respect for cultural or societal rules and standards, a sense of right and wrong (morality), and spirituality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caring and Compassion</td>
<td>Improving youths' empathy and identification with others.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Adapted from Lerner, Fisher, and Weinberg (2000).
## Very Different Perspectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Traditional Justice</strong></th>
<th><strong>Positive Youth Justice</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target</strong></td>
<td>Youth deficits</td>
<td>Youth strengths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal</strong></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Attachment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strategy</strong></td>
<td>Deter and provide treatment</td>
<td>Connect and engage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tactics</strong></td>
<td>- Sanctions</td>
<td>- Re-establish youth bonds with community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Supervision</td>
<td>- Connect youth and family with pro-social activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Services</td>
<td>- Build on youth assets and interests</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Positive Youth Justice model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domains</th>
<th>ASSETS</th>
<th>Learning/Doing</th>
<th>Attaching/Belonging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Work</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Activities</td>
<td>• Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Outcomes</td>
<td>• Outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Activities</td>
<td>• Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Outcomes</td>
<td>• Outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relationships</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Activities</td>
<td>• Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Outcomes</td>
<td>• Outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Activities</td>
<td>• Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Outcomes</td>
<td>• Outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Activities</td>
<td>• Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Outcomes</td>
<td>• Outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Creativity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Activities</td>
<td>• Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Outcomes</td>
<td>• Outcomes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Butts, Bazemore, and Meroe (2010)
THE EIGHT CATEGORIES OF DEVELOPMENTAL ASSETS MEASURED IN THE DAP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>External Assets</th>
<th>Internal Assets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUPPORT</strong></td>
<td><strong>COMMITMENT TO LEARNING</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young people need to be surrounded by people who love, care for, appreciate, and accept them.</td>
<td>Young people need a sense of the lasting importance of learning and a belief in their own abilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EMPOWERMENT</strong></td>
<td><strong>POSITIVE VALUES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young people need to feel valued and valuable. This happens when youth feel safe and respected.</td>
<td>Young people need to develop strong guiding values or principles to help them make healthy life choices, including responsibility, empathy, and self-control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOUNDARIES AND EXPECTATIONS</strong></td>
<td><strong>SOCIAL COMPETENCIES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young people need clear rules, consistent consequences for breaking rules, and encouragement to do their best.</td>
<td>Young people need the skills to interact effectively with others, to make difficult decisions, and to cope with new situations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONSTRUCTIVE USE OF TIME</strong></td>
<td><strong>POSITIVE IDENTITY</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young people need opportunities—outside of school—to learn and develop new skills and interests with other youth and adults.</td>
<td>Young people need to believe in their own self-worth and to feel that they have control over the things that happen to them.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

THE FIVE ASSET-BUILDING CONTEXTS MEASURED IN THE DAP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Context</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal assets</td>
<td>Internal strengths that shape the character of young people, including their self-concept, values, attitudes, and capabilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social assets</td>
<td>Social assets are experienced through personal relationships with others, particularly their friends.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family assets</td>
<td>Assets experienced in the family.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School assets</td>
<td>Assets experienced in school.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community assets</td>
<td>Assets experienced in community settings other than school.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What is at the center of it all?
A sense of agency and empowerment for students.

“The power to understand, act on, and effect positive change in one’s personal and social contexts; embodying the sense of hope and possibility (grounded in an understanding of social reality) that one can make a difference in one’s own life, family, school, and local community and in the broader national and global community.”

Annenberg Institute for School Reform at Brown University (2000, p.2)

“Moving from destructive risk-taking to nurtured risk-taking will require an integrated approach to social change, one that transforms us as human beings as much as it transforms the system. We must craft an approach that combines healing and transformational work with work to empower young people to transform the systems and institutions that perpetuate harm and inequality.”

YOUTH ENGAGEMENT CONTINUUMS:
VOICE, INVOLVEMENT, AND ATTITUDE
Youth-Adult Relationship (Hart’s Ladder)

- Student-initiated, shared decisions with adults
- Student-initiated, student-led decisions
- Adult initiated, shared decisions with students
- Students informed & consulted
- Students informed and assigned
- Students tokenized
- Students are decoration
- Students are manipulated

Spectrum of Youth Leadership (Movement Strategy Center)

- Youth Led
- Youth Run
- Youth Driven
- Youth Involvement
- Youth Participation
- Youth as Clients

Spectrum of Student Voice (Students at the Hub)

- Leadership
- Activism
- Partnership
- Participation
- Consultation
- Expression
Continuum of Voice

By Barbara Bray @bbray27
& Kathleen McClaskey @khmmc

Expression
- offers opinions & answers questions
- creates Personal Learner Profile (PLP) on how they learn best
- takes surveys
- provides input and feedback
- shares PLP and works on PLP with teacher

Consultation

Participation
- attends activities with role in decision making
- articulates action steps to meet learning goals

Partnership
- collaborates with teachers and learners
- contributes to design of lessons, projects and assignments

Activism
- identifies problems and generates solutions
- advocates for change in and outside of school

Leadership
- guides group as leader of change
- co-plans and makes decisions
- accepts responsibility for outcomes

Learner-Driven
Teacher-Centered
ROGER HART’S LADDER OF PARTICIPATION

1:

RUNG 8 - Youth initiated shared decisions with adults: Youth-led activities, in which decision making is shared between youth and adults working as equal partners.

RUNG 7 - Youth initiated and directed: Youth-led activities with little input from adults.

RUNG 6 - Adult initiated shared decisions with youth: Adult-led activities, in which decision making is shared with youth.

RUNG 5 - Consulted and informed: Adult-led activities, in which youth are consulted and informed about how their input will be used and the outcomes of adult decisions.

RUNG 4 - Assigned, but informed: Adult-led activities, in which youth understand purpose, decision-making process, and have a role.

RUNG 3 - Tokenism: Adult-led activities, in which youth may be consulted with minimal opportunities for feedback.

RUNG 2 - Decoration: Adult-led activities, in which youth understand purpose, but have no input in how they are planned.

RUNG 1 - Manipulation: Adult-led activities, in which youth do as directed without understanding of the purpose for the activities.

Non-Participation
Manipulation and Tokenism

Young people’s contributions are:
• Co-opted by adults
• Solicited only to satisfy a mandate or ideal
• Not meaningful, but used to promote the project (i.e. a photo opportunity)
**Non-Participation**

**Manipulation and Tokenism**

Young people’s contributions are:
- Co-opted by adults
- Solicited only to satisfy a mandate or ideal
- Not meaningful, but used to promote the project (i.e. a photo opportunity)

**Simple Participation**

**Contributors and Informants**

Young people are:
- Surveyed or asked for opinions
- Engaged in only small components of a program
- Asked to contribute to youth-focused initiatives
Non-Participation
Manipulation and
Tokenism
Young people’s contributions are:
• Co-opted by adults
• Solicited only to satisfy a mandate or ideal
• Not meaningful, but used to promote the project (i.e. a photo opportunity)

Simple Participation
Contributors and Informants
Young people are:
• Surveyed or asked for opinions
• Engaged in only small components of a program
• Asked to contribute to youth-focused initiatives

Advanced Participation
Collaborators and Decision Makers
Young People are:
• Active members of program committees
• Asked to create and define projects that impact youth and adults
A Continuum Of Youth Involvement

Youth—Guided

Means That Youth and Adults are aware and engaged as they explore the ideas and skills of youth leadership and begin to value youth experience as integral to the decision-making process.

Youth—Directed

Youth begin to make recommendations and fill steady, meaningful roles in decision-making bodies. Youth and adults collaborate to create and prioritize goals and develop strategies for positive change.

Youth—Driven

Youth have self-awareness and skills to initiate change, as well as the intrinsic motivation and sense of purpose necessary to follow through. Youth have a mutually respectful relationship with adults and other youth in the community as they partner for the change desired by the youth.
## A Continuum Of Youth Involvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Youth–Guided</th>
<th>Youth–Directed</th>
<th>Youth–Driven</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engaged in the idea</td>
<td>Forming relationships</td>
<td>Takes responsibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feel safe, valued</td>
<td>Making decisions with team</td>
<td>Communicates visions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>Understanding processes</td>
<td>Sets goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involving other youth</td>
<td>Positions and voting power</td>
<td>Gives presentations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe space to work/talk</td>
<td>Increased representation</td>
<td>Become mentors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensated</td>
<td>Compensated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invited to meetings</td>
<td>Community buy-in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involved as advisors</td>
<td>Forming and facilitating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have a mentor</td>
<td>Compensated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensated</td>
<td>Provide training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Individual Level**

**Community Level**

**Policy Level**

“Youth are/have...”
What could this look like contextualized for wellness & support systems?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality of Participation or Consent</th>
<th>Rungs of Youth Voice</th>
<th>Wellness &amp; Support Systems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young people and adults share decision-making</td>
<td>Young people have the ideas, set up the project and invite adults to join them in making decisions throughout the project. They are equitable partners.</td>
<td>Young people actively identify their own wellness and support system needs, approaches, and services and invite adults to partner with them throughout the process. Any adult action is youth-centered and responsive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young people lead and initiate action</td>
<td>Young people have the initial idea and decide on how the project is to be carried out. Adults are available and trust in the leadership of young people.</td>
<td>Young people initially identify a/their wellness and support system need(s) and then determine which services and approaches they would like to access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult-initiated, shared decisions with young people</td>
<td>Adults have the initial idea, and young people are involved in making decisions, planning and implementing the project.</td>
<td>Adults initially identify the wellness and support system need(s) of young people, and young people are involved in making decisions around the response to those needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young people are consulted and informed</td>
<td>Adults design and facilitate the project and young people’s opinions are given weight in decision-making. Young people receive feedback about their opinions.</td>
<td>Adults design and facilitate the referral pathways, processes and policies related to young people’s wellness and support systems. Young people are asked for their opinions based on their lived experiences; they receive feedback about their lived experiences (validating or invalidating their opinions).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young people assigned but informed</td>
<td>Adults decide on the project and young people volunteer for it. Young people understand the project and adults respect their views.</td>
<td>Adults design and facilitate the referral pathways, processes, and policies related to young people’s wellness and support systems and young people volunteer to participate in some or all of the steps of the processes and policies. Adults ensure that there are structured opportunities to check for youth [and their families’] understanding of the process, policies, and pathways in place regarding youth wellness and support systems. Adults create and drive a wellness and support system reform initiative or project; adults select [which] young people [should be] to be a part of the implementation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of Participation or Consent</td>
<td>Rungs of Youth Voice</td>
<td>Wellness &amp; Support Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokenism</td>
<td>Young people are given a limited voice and little choice about what they say and how they can communicate.</td>
<td>Young people are given limited voice and choice about how they experience awareness and access of wellness and support system services and approaches. There are few opportunities to communicate their lived experiences to adults. Adults only refer or invite young people to share their wellness and support system needs when they are required to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decoration</td>
<td>Young people can take part in an event in a very limited capacity and have no role in decision-making</td>
<td>[Only youth with the awareness of services] can access wellness and support system services based on a referral from an adult; they do not have decision-making power in if or how they receive services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manipulation</td>
<td>Adults have complete and unchallenged authority to abuse their power. They use young people’s ideas and voices for their own gain.</td>
<td>Adults have complete and unchallenged authority to abuse their power in forcing or coercing young people to receive services. Any ideas youth offer about their experiences of support systems and wellness services are used for adult gain. Adults share young people’s wellness and support system needs &amp; information without permission.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
[New] ROLES for Youth (The Movement Strategy Center)

- Youth as Facilitators
- Youth as Researchers
- Youth as Planners
- Youth as Organizers
- Youth as Decision-Makers
- Youth as Evaluators
- Youth as Specialists
We approach mental health, trauma & resilience, wellness—all of it—through our own lenses

“Trauma and the meaning making process of it is socio-culturally bound, meaning that while many might suggest schools and the learning environment to be a place for a young person to make meaning of his or her own living context, the way in which teachers might expect him or her to do so is culturally charged.”

So, what is your lens?

• How do you define “trauma,” “mental health,” “healing,” “voice,” “leadership”? for yourself, in your own life?

• What might have supported you through a experience/time where there were challenges / adversity?

• How have you accessed opportunities to explore resilience?
Where Are We, and Where Do We Want to Go?

Assessing Readiness
Independent think:
Reflecting on youth voice

In the work I do personally, how much voice do youth have?

In reflecting on the services my institution provides, how much voice do youth have?

What are my personal goals / intended outcomes for youth involvement in my school community?

Do I know what my school’s goals / intended outcomes around youth involvement are?
ROGER HART’S LADDER OF PARTICIPATION

RUNG 8 - Youth initiated shared decisions with adults: Youth-led activities, in which decision making is shared between youth and adults working as equal partners.

RUNG 7 - Youth initiated and directed: Youth-led activities with little input from adults.

RUNG 6 - Adult initiated shared decisions with youth: Adult-led activities, in which decision making is shared with youth.

RUNG 5 - Consulted and informed: Adult-led activities, in which youth are consulted and informed about how their input will be used and the outcomes of adult decisions.

RUNG 4 - Assigned, but informed: Adult-led activities, in which youth understand purpose, decision-making process, and have a role.

RUNG 3 - Tokenism: Adult-led activities, in which youth may be consulted with minimal opportunities for feedback.

RUNG 2 - Decoration: Adult-led activities, in which youth understand purpose, but have no input in how they are planned.

RUNG 1 - Manipulation: Adult-led activities, in which youth do activities directed without understanding of the purpose for the activities.

And, it’s messy.
1 Consider the **Ladder of Youth Participation and Wellness Schema chart** (handout).

2 **Discuss:**
   - Which level of the ladder is our project/program/activity/practice/interaction on, and why? Who benefits? Who loses?
   - Which level of the ladder should our project be on, and why? Who benefits? Who loses?
   - If we want to move to another rung of the ladder so that it meets our theory of action and outcomes, what do we need to do?

3 Be prepared to **share your discussion.**
Serving as Meaning Making Partners

Stepping into the Role of Positive Youth Development Advocate

“You move into discomfort so that I can move out of pain and we can meet in the middle.”
Holding Space
1) How do adults view young people?

2) How do adults think young people view them?

3) What behaviors have you experienced in intergenerational meetings that would not be helpful in building healthy partnerships?

4) What behaviors have you seen that help build strong intergenerational partnerships?

“Four Corners”

1. Let’s establish groups
2. Go to your number stations
3. 3 minutes per question
4. Rotate
5. Wrap Up - walk around
6. Discussion
### Common Challenges Related to Youth Voice & Leadership


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sharing power</strong></td>
<td>Some adults have difficulty relinquishing power to youth during the planning and decision-making process. At the same time, some youth may be uncomfortable with taking on the responsibility that comes with having power.</td>
<td>Work towards sharing power by distributing responsibility and decision-making at levels in which adult and youth participants are comfortable. Provide opportunities to discuss power issues openly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stereotypes</strong></td>
<td>Adults often have stereotypes of young people. As a result it may be easier to engage youth who have already been identified as leaders. Many adults reach out to youth that they think will act and perform like adults. Young people also have stereotypes of adults. This may lead to lack of trust, or skepticism from young people about adults’ willingness to support and partner with them.</td>
<td>Don’t make assumptions about the abilities of youth or adults. Instead, build off of the strengths of each individual youth and adult. Provide ways for them to grow in their weak areas. Take risks and be surprised by what young people and adults can do once given the proper skills and tools.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Viewing youth as recipients</strong></td>
<td>Many adults and young people have difficulty seeing youth as leaders or resources in the community. Some don’t believe that youth could offer something valuable.</td>
<td>Provide examples of what young people have accomplished. Provide clear roles, realistic expectations and support for those involved. Provide proper orientation and training. This should help provide adults and youth with a better sense of what young people can do as leaders.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Looking ahead
What are some of the challenges we face? In our own practices and belief systems? What needs to be disrupted?

Now after our learning, **what might we need to be more intentional** about when it comes to engaging youth?

What should we keep doing? Start doing? **What excites us?**
Thank you!

Our Website: https://www.wested.org/program/health-human-development-program/

For the Trauma & Schools Webinar Series: https://relwest.wested.org/events/329

- Trauma & Resilience 101
- Youth Leadership as a Trauma Informed Cornerstone
- Self & Collective Care for Youth Serving Adults

For more information, contact:

Leora Wolf-Pruson, EdD

Lwolfpr@wested.org
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Handouts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action Planning (for partnering with youth)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harts Ladder Reflection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Efficacy &amp; Empowerment Scale-Mental Health (R&amp;TC Portland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Involvement Checklist (THRIVE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Voice &amp; Wellness (WestEd)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth-Adult Partnership Rubric (Students at the Hub)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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