RESULTS

The following three maps, organizational sector, geographic regions of provided services, and areas of expertise, are filtered views of the network map. The findings of each map view are below.

Organizational Sector

- Non-profit: n=15 (57.7%)
- University: n=6 (19.2%)
- Government Agency: n=3 (11.5%)
- Business: n=1 (3.8%)
- Public School District: n=1 (3.8%)
- Charter School Network: n=1 (3.8%)
- Healthcare Provider: n=1 (3.8%)

Data show (1) strong representation by non-profit organizations, as well as, across our universities and state agencies and (2) further engagement of business and healthcare sectors may be important.

Areas of Expertise

- Physical Activity: n=12 (46.2%)
- Implementing the WSCC: n=10 (38.5%)
- Comprehensive Health & Wellness: n=10 (38.5%)
- Behavioral Health: n=7 (26.9%)
- Policy: n=4 (15.4%)
- Health Services: n=2 (7.7%)

Out of 12 areas of expertise, data suggests organizations report greatest expertise in physical activity and policy and health services were areas with least expertise within the collaborative. Despite funding for the collaborative requiring support implementing the use of the WSCC model and advance evidence-based practices in comprehensive health and mental health, these areas were only endorsed by 1/3 of the organizations in the collaborative.

IMPLICATIONS

Organizational Sectors

- Understanding the proportions of organizational sectors present can increase awareness of which sectors are represented in the collaborative
- What gaps exist
- What sectors may want to be engaged.

Services Provided Across CO

- Understanding the regions in CO where services are being provided can increase awareness of regions in need
- Increase alignment of services
- Can decrease duplication of resources to schools.

Areas of Expertise

- Understanding the collaborative’s areas of expertise can increase awareness of the organizations that can provide knowledge and resources in that area
- Awareness of the areas that may need further organizational representation.

CONCLUSION

- Research has indicated that effective collaborative and cross-sector coordination improve the effectiveness of the collaborative and its impact on systems change (Kania & Kramer, 2011).
- Utilization of the map has been lower than anticipated due to challenges we have faced.
- Challenges included:
  - Changes in organizational membership and funding
  - Members’ unfamiliarity with how to use Kumu’s platform
  - To increase the use of the current map and future maps, further discussions about the potential benefits a network map and the specific ways in which we want to use the map to support our work are needed.
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